







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	PATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,520	. 09/26/2	000	Wakako Moriyama	197802US2S	4460
22850	7590	12/17/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC				EXAMINER	
FOURTH FL		HOHWAY	LEE, GRANVILL D		
	RSON DAVIS I N. VA 22202	HIGHWAY			
AREINGTO	N, VA 22202	ART UNIT PAPER NUM			PAPER NUMBER
				2825	
				DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		th				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/670,520	MORIYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Granvill D Lee, Jr	2825				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S	September 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,10,12,13-18,20 and 22</u> is/are p	ending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18,20 and 22</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (I) (D				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 09/670,520

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Applicant's Argument

After review of applicant's amendments and comments, the examiner finds such arguments unpersuasive. Applicant's comments as to Gardener et al. and Rama et al. are well taken, however in further review of the prior art, the examiner has found that Kusunoki et al. and Rama et al. read upon applicant's claimed invention. As these are a new grounds for rejection, but the following rejections are not to be considered final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, 10 and 12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusunoki et al. (US Pat. 6,335,549) in view of Rama et al. (Pub.).

In view of claims 1 and 10, Kusunoki et al. makes a semiconductor memory device by forming a gate insulating film (Fig. 22 #22b) in oxynitride (oxidation) form on a substrate surface from 800-1100 degrees C. Next, the



Application/Control Number: 09/670,520

Art Unit: 2825

layer deposited to form the electrodes (Fig. 23) are etched down to the surface of the substrate (Fig. 24) to leave it exposed, subsequently, impurity diffused regions are later formed (Fig. 29 #6 and #37) under the surface. However, Kusunoki et al. fails to include a vapor method to help form the gate insulating film.

Rama et al. uses a two-step process, including a vapor technique to form oxynitride films. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Kusunoli et al. with those of Rama et al. to incorporate a better method of obtaining a layer with superior qualities. Rama et al. found that gate dielectrics with nitric oxide were of excellent quality, but using the vapor method at various time periods, resulted in an excellent layer with more nitrogen at the interface (Fig. 1 a-c).

In view of claims 2 and 7, Kusunoki et al. uses a reoxidized (twice oxidizing a nitrified layer) nitrided (RNO) film layer (Col. 7 lines 21-25) to cover the substrate.

In view of claims 3 and 4, Kusunoki et al. uses a anisotropic hydroflouoric (HF) acid to perform the etch and expose the substrate (Pg 2883 3rd para.).

In continued view of claim 12, Kusunoki et al. reoxidizes or post-oxidizes a nitride layer in making of a semiconductor device (Col. 7 lines 20-40).



Application/Control Number: 09/670,520

Art Unit: 2825

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-18, 20 and 22 allowable.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications for the examiner should be directed to Granvill Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5865. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday thru Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (703) 308-1323. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to status or otherwise should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Examiner Granvill Lee Art Unit 2825

Gl 1**2**/5/02

MATTHEW SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800